

SHOOTING A CLUB WITH FINITE CONDITIONS

JINDŘICH ZAPLETAL

The Pennsylvania State University

ABSTRACT. We study cohabitation of the poset shooting a club through a given stationary subset of ω_1 with finite conditions with other forcings.

Definition 1.

- (1) If $I \subset \omega_1$ is a countable interval of ordinals and $S \subset \omega_1$ is countable, we define $P_{S,I} = \{p : p \text{ is a finite function from } I \text{ to } S \text{ such that there exists } f : I \rightarrow S \cap \alpha \text{ increasing continuous, } \text{rng}(f) \text{ unbounded in } S, p \subset f\}$.
- (2) Let $S \subset \omega_1$ be stationary, $I = \omega_1 \setminus \alpha$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$. Then $P_{S,I} = \{p : p \text{ is a finite function from } I \text{ to } S \text{ such that } \exists \alpha < \beta < \omega_1 \exists f : \beta \setminus \alpha \rightarrow S \text{ continuous increasing and } p \subset f\}$. The order is by inverse inclusion. $P_S = P_{S,\omega_1}$.

We will be interested in P_S for various $S \subset \omega_1$ stationary, “shooting a club through S with finite conditions”.

Lemma 1. If $p = \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\} \in P_S$ then $P_S \restriction p = P_{S \cap \alpha, \alpha} \times P_{S \setminus \alpha, \omega_1 \setminus \alpha}$.

Corollary 1. P_S is S -proper.[S]

Proof. Let $p \in P_S$, $N \prec H_\theta$ countable with $S, p \in N$ and $\alpha = N \cap \omega_1 \in S$. Then $q = p \cup \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\} \in P$ is a master condition for N as in [B].

Corollary 2. P_S is homogeneous.

Proof. If $p, q \in P_S$ find $N \prec H_\theta$ countable such that $p, q, S \in N$ and $\alpha = N \cap \omega_1 \in S$. Then $p_1 = p \cup \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\}$, $q_1 = q \cup \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\}$ are both in P_S and due to the Lemma can be viewed as elements of $P_{S \cap \alpha, \alpha} \times P_{S \setminus \alpha, \omega_1 \setminus \alpha}$ with support only the first coordinate. But $P_{S \cap \alpha, \alpha}$ is a countable notion of forcing and so is homogeneous. Now it is easy to devise an automorphism of P_S sending p_1 under q_1 , proving homogeneity.

Fix $G \subset P_S$ generic.

Corollary 3. $r \in \omega^\omega \cap V[G]$ iff $\exists \alpha < \omega_1$ $r \in V[G \restriction \alpha]$.

Corollary 4. If $V \subset W$, $\omega_1^V = \omega_1^W$ and $G \in W$ then $G \subset P_S$ is V -generic iff

- (1) $G : \omega_1 \rightarrow S$ is increasing and continuous
- (2) $\forall \alpha < \omega_1$ $G(\alpha) = \alpha$ implies $G \restriction \alpha$ is $P_{S \cap \alpha, \alpha}$ -generic.

Proof. Let G has the above properties and $A \subset P_S$ is a maximal antichain in V . Choose $N \prec H_\theta$ in W countable containing G, A . Then $A \cap N$ is a maximal antichain in $N \cap P_S$ and so it is met by (2). (1) actually follows from (2).

Lemma 2. *If T is a tree of height ω_1 and $P_S \ni p \Vdash \dot{b}$ is a branch through T then $p \Vdash \dot{b} \in V$.*

Proof. Let θ be large enough regular cardinal and $M \prec H_\theta$ be a countable submodel containing $S, p, T, \dot{b}$, $\alpha = M \cap \omega_1 \in S$. Set $p_1 = p \cup \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\}$. Find $p_2 \leq p_1$ deciding $\dot{b} \cap$ the β^{th} level of T . Let $q = p_2 \cap M$. For any $r_1, r_2 \in M$, if $r_1, r_2 \leq q$ then both of them are comparable with p_2 and therefore any elements of $T \cap M$ forced by r_1 or r_2 into $\dot{b}$ must be linearly ordered in T . By elementarity, $c = \{x \in T : \exists r \leq q \ r \Vdash x \in \dot{b}\}$ is a branch of T and consequently $q \Vdash \dot{b} = c$. Since $q \leq p$ and $c \in V$ we are done.

Lemma 3. *If Q is c.c.c. then $P_S \Vdash \text{"}Q \text{ is c.c.c.}"$.*

Proof. Let $p \Vdash \langle \dot{q}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ is an antichain in Q . Fix a bijection $g : P_S \rightarrow \omega_1$ and find $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$, a continuous increasing chain of submodels of H_θ with $S, g, p, \langle \dot{q}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle \in M_0$. Set $\beta_\alpha = M_\alpha \cap \omega_1$ and find $\langle \dot{p}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $p_\alpha \leq p \cup \{\langle \beta_\alpha, \beta_\alpha \rangle\}$, $p_\alpha \Vdash \dot{q}_\alpha$ if $\beta_\alpha \in S$. Define $f : S \cap \{\beta_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \rightarrow \omega_1$ by $f(\beta_\alpha) = g(p_\alpha \cap M_\alpha)$. f is defined on a stationary set and can be easily seen to be regressive, therefore we can find a stationary set $T \subset \omega_1$ and q such that $\alpha \in T$ implies $p_\alpha \cap M_\alpha = q$. Now similarly to the proof of the Δ -system lemma one can find $U \subset T$ of cardinality $\aleph_1$ such that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in U$ implies p_{α_1} is comparable with p_{α_2} and thus $\{\dot{q}_\alpha / p_\alpha : \alpha \in U\} \subset Q$ is an antichain giving contradiction with assumed c.c.c. of Q .

Lemma 4. *Let $S \subset \omega_1$ be stationary and $J = NS_{\omega_1} + (\omega_1 \setminus S)$.*

- (1) *If J is precipitous then $P_S \Vdash \text{"}NS_{\omega_1} \text{ is precipitous}"$.*
- (2) *If J is presaturated then $P_S \Vdash \text{"}NS_{\omega_1} \text{ is presaturated}"$ iff $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/I \Vdash \text{"}jS \subset \omega_2^V = \omega_1^{V[G]} \text{ is stationary}"$, where $j : V \rightarrow M$ is the canonical generic ultrapower.*

Remark. The situation in (2) is parallel to that of [BT]. Notice that $P_S \Vdash \text{"}NS_{\omega_1}$ is not ω_2 -saturated". (Choose $\langle g_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_2 \rangle$, a family of almost disjoint functions, $g_\gamma : \omega_1 \rightarrow S$, $g_\gamma(\alpha) > \alpha$, all $\alpha < \omega_1$, $\gamma < \omega_2$. If $G : \omega_1 \rightarrow S$ is the P_S -generic function then $\langle S_\gamma : \gamma < \omega_2 \rangle$, $S_\gamma = \{\alpha < \omega_1 : G(\alpha) = g_\gamma(\alpha)\}$ is a long antichain of stationary sets in $V[G]$.) As far as the second condition in (2) is concerned, let us say that a pair Q, j is stationarily correct if $Q \Vdash \text{"}j : V \rightarrow M \text{ is elementary, } \kappa = \text{crit}(j) \text{ and } M \models \text{"}T \subset j\kappa \text{ is stationary}"}$ iff T is stationary". We have proved that the nonstationary tower ultrapower as described in [W] is stationarily correct as well as the NS_{ω_1} -generic ultrapower under $MA^+(\omega_1\text{-closed})$. It is consistent w.r.t. suitable hypotheses that NS_{ω_1} is c.c.c. destructibly ω_2 -saturated and stationarily correct or that there is $J \subset \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$, a normal ω_2 -saturated ideal which is not stationarily correct. It seems however that it is an open problem whether NS_{ω_1} plus a single set can be presaturated and not stationarily correct. Thus the second condition in (2) is possibly empty.

Proof. (1) follows from the following two claims:

Fact 1. *P preserves maximal antichains of stationary subsets of S .*

Fact 2. *Let $p \in P$, $p \Vdash \dot{f} : \dot{T}_0 \rightarrow \text{Ord}$, $\dot{T}_0 \subset \omega_1$ stationary. Then there are $q \leq p, \dot{T}_1, g : \omega_1 \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ such that $q \Vdash \text{"}\dot{T}_1 \subset \dot{T}_0 \text{ is stationary and } \forall \alpha \in \dot{T} \ \dot{f}(\alpha) = \check{g}(\alpha)\text{"}$.*

Let us fix an enumeration $E : P_S \rightarrow \omega_1$ and go on to prove the above facts. In the case of Fact 1, let $\langle \dot{T}_i : i \in I \rangle$ be a maximal antichain of stationary subsets

of S , $p \in P_S$, $p \Vdash \dot{U} \subset \omega_1$ is stationary". Set $\bar{U} = \{\alpha \in S : \exists q \leq p \cup \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\} q \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{U}\}$ and choose q_α witnessing $\alpha \in \bar{U}$ for α in $\bar{U}$. $\bar{U}$ is stationary. Define $F : \bar{U} \rightarrow \omega_1$ by $F(\alpha) = E(q_\alpha \restriction \alpha)$. F is regressive on a stationary set and so we can find $T \subset \bar{U}$ stationary such that $F''T = \{\beta\}$, some $\beta < \omega_1$. Let $q = F^{-1}(\beta)$ and choose $i \in I$ such that $T \cap \dot{T}_i$ is stationary. Then $p > q \Vdash \dot{U} \cap \dot{T}_i$ is stationary": let $r < q$, $r \Vdash \dot{C} \subset \omega_1$ is a club". Choose $M \prec H_\theta$ countable for some large regular θ such that $r, \dot{C} \in M$ and $\alpha = M \cap \omega_1 \in T$. Then q_α and r are compatible and their common lower bound forces α into $\dot{U} \cap \dot{T}_i \cap \dot{C}$ (notice q_α is a master condition for M).

The proof of Fact 2 follows a similar pattern. Let p, T_0 be as in the Fact 2. Set $\bar{T}_0 = \{\alpha \in S : \exists q \leq p \cup \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\} q \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{T}_0\}$. For $\alpha \in \bar{T}_0$ choose $q_\alpha \leq p \cup \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\}$ $q_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{T}_0$, q_α decides $\dot{f}(\alpha)$. Let $F(\alpha) = E(q_\alpha \restriction \alpha)$. F is regressive on a stationary set and we can find $\beta, \bar{T}_1 \subset S$ stationary, $F''\bar{T}_1 = \{\beta\}$. Define $\dot{T}_1 = \{\alpha \in \bar{T}_1 : q_\alpha \in G\}$, where G is the generic filter and $g : T_1 \rightarrow \text{Ord}$, $g(\alpha) =$ the unique ξ such that $q_\alpha \Vdash \dot{f}(\alpha) = \xi$. Then as above $p > F^{-1}(\beta) \Vdash \dot{T}_1$ is stationary and $\dot{f} \restriction \dot{T}_1 = \dot{g} \restriction \dot{T}_1$ ".

It is left to the reader to show now that (1) holds. To prove (2) we first observe

Fact 3. $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/J * jP_S \restriction \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 \rangle$ is isomorphic to $P_S * \dot{\mathcal{P}}(\omega_1)/NS_{\omega_1}$.

To see this, let $G \subset \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/I$ be V -generic and $H \subset jP_S \restriction \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 \rangle$ be $V[G]$ -generic. Again we confuse H with $\bigcup H : \omega_2^V \rightarrow jS$. Set $H' = H \restriction \omega_1$. Thus H' can be regarded as V -generic object for P_S . The standard techniques give an extension of $j : V \rightarrow M$, $j \in V[G]$, to $\hat{j} : V[H'] \rightarrow M[H]$ in $V[G][H]$. We set $G' = \{T \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) \cap V[H'] : \omega_1 \in \hat{j}T\}$ and claim that $G' \subset \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/NS_{\omega_1}$ is $V[H']$ -generic and moreover $V[G][H] = V[H'][G']$. To this end, fix $f, T, p, \langle \dot{T}_i : i \in I \rangle$ such that $T \subset S$ is stationary, $T \Vdash \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 \rangle \in \check{p} \in jP_S$, $\check{p} = [\check{f}]$, $f : T \rightarrow P_S$, $p \restriction \omega_1 \Vdash_{P_S} \langle \dot{T}_i : i \in I \rangle$ is a maximal antichain in $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/NS_{\omega_1}$. For $i \in I$ set $\bar{T}_i = \{\alpha \in S : \exists q \in P_S q \leq f(\alpha), q \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{T}_i\}$. Since the $\dot{T}_i$'s are forced to form a maximal antichain, there is an $i \in I$ such that $\bar{T}_i \cap T$ is stationary. For each $\alpha \in \bar{T}_i \cap T$, choose $q_\alpha \leq f(\alpha)$, $q_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{T}_i$. Define $F(\alpha) = E(q_\alpha \restriction \alpha)$. F is regressive on a stationary set and we can find $U \subset \bar{T}_i \cap T$, $\beta < \omega_1$ such that U is stationary and $F''U = \{\beta\}$. Let $g : U \rightarrow P_S$ be defined by $g(\alpha) = q_\alpha$. Then in $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/I * jP_S \restriction \langle \omega_1, \omega_1 \rangle$ $U, [g] \leq T, p$ and $U, [g] \Vdash \omega_1 \in \hat{j}(\dot{T}_i/H')$ and therefore G' meets the antichain given by $\langle \dot{T}_i : i \in I \rangle$. This proves the genericity. To reconstruct G, H from G', H' , notice that $G = G' \cap V$. If $\hat{j}$ is the generic ultrapower of $V[H']$ by G' , it is immediate that $H = \hat{j}H'$.

(2) now follows: if jS is forced to be stationary, then jP_S will be a forcing in $V[G]$ which does not collapse $\omega_1^{V[G]} = \omega_2^V$. If on the other hand jS can be nonstationary, let us say $T \Vdash jS$ is nonstationary" then it is easy to find two disjoint closed unbounded subsets of ω_2^V in $V[G][H] = V[H'][G']$ if $T \in G \subset G'$ and so ω_2 was collapsed.

From now on, let Q_{ω_1} denote the forcing adding ω_1 Cohen reals. From our previous work, any real added by P_S is in some Cohen extension of the ground model. It is also not very hard to see that Q_{ω_1} regularly embeds into P_S . (See Corollary 5 for a rather complicated example how to do this.) It is natural to ask whether such embedding can reap all the real numbers of V^{P_S} , i.e. if we can have $Q_{\omega_1} \leq P_S$ as a regular subalgebra so that $P_S \Vdash \omega^\omega \cap V[G] = \omega^\omega \cap V[G \cap Q_{\omega_1}]$.

Lemma 5. Let $H \subset Q_{\omega_1}$ be generic. In $V[H]$ (actually in $V[\omega_1^{V[H]}]$) there is an

ω -distributive S -proper forcing T such that $T \Vdash$ “there is $G \subset P_S$ V -generic such that $\omega^\omega \cap V[G] = \omega^\omega \cap V[H]$ ”.

Proof. Work in $V[H]$ and define $T = \{g : \exists \alpha < \omega_1, \{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle\} \in P_S, g \subset P_{S,\alpha} \text{ is generic over } V\}$ ordered by reverse inclusion. Certainly all $g \in T$ are hereditarily countable, thus coded by reals and $T \in V[\omega^\omega]$. Choose $g \in T$, $\langle D_i : i < \omega \rangle$ a sequence of open dense subsets of T , and $M \prec H_\theta$, $S, g, \langle D_i : i < \omega \rangle, H \in M$, $M \cap \omega_1 \in S$. $\{T \cap M\} \cup \{D \cap T \cap M : D \in M \text{ open dense}\}$ is a countable collection of hereditarily countable objects and as such belongs to some $V[H \restriction \alpha]$, $\alpha < \omega_1$. In $V[H \restriction \alpha]$, $T \cap M \restriction g$ is isomorphic to adding one Cohen real. Let us regard $H(\alpha)$ as a subset of it. Then it is easy to show that $h = \bigcup H(\alpha)$ is a V -generic subset of $P_{S, M \cap \omega_1}$ and a strongly M -generic condition under g , in particular $h \in \bigcup_{i < \omega} D_i$.

Due to the local genericity condition in Corollary 4, if $K \subset T$ is generic, $G = \bigcup K$ is a V -generic subset of P_S . The last thing to check is that $\omega^\omega \cap V[G] = \omega^\omega \cap V[H]$. To this aim, for $\alpha < \omega_1$ define $D_\alpha = \{g \in T : H \restriction \alpha \in V[g]\}$. The following Subclaim will complete the proof.

Subclaim. *Each D_α is a dense subset of T .*

Thus the reals coming from P_S look exactly the same as the reals coming from Q_{ω_1} .

Lemma 6. *Cons(ZFC+ κ Mahlo) implies Cons(ZFC+ $\exists S \subset \omega_1$ stationary costationary and there is an embedding $Q_{\omega_1} \triangleleft P_S$ reaping all the reals of V^{P_S}).*

Proof. Fix a Mahlo cardinal κ and set $S = \{\alpha < \kappa : \alpha \text{ inaccessible}\}$. $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ is homogeneous and so for every finite function p from κ to κ , either $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa) \Vdash “\exists \alpha < \kappa \exists f : \alpha \rightarrow \kappa \text{ increasing continuous with } f''\alpha \subset S, p \subset f”$ or $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa) \Vdash “\neg \exists \alpha < \kappa \exists f : \alpha \rightarrow \kappa \text{ increasing continuous with } f''\alpha \subset S, p \subset f”$. (Notice that due to the κ -c.c. $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ preserves stationarity of S .) Therefore we can define $P = \{p : p \text{ is a finite function and } \text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa) \Vdash “\exists \alpha < \kappa \exists f : \alpha \rightarrow \kappa \text{ increasing continuous with } f''\alpha \subset S, p \subset f”\}$ ordered by inclusion and be sure to get $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa) \Vdash “\check{P} = \dot{P}_{\check{S}}”$.

Claim 1. $P \Vdash “\check{\kappa} = \aleph_1, G \text{ (confused with } \bigcup G) : \kappa \rightarrow \check{S} \text{ increasing continuous.”}$

Claim 2. $P \Vdash “\exists H \subset \text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa) \text{ generic over } V, \omega^\omega \cap V[H] = \omega^\omega \cap V[G]”$

Proof. Fix $G \subset P$ generic and work in $V[G]$. Notice that as in the case of Lemma 1, (due to the easy factorization of P) $r \in \omega^\omega \cap V[G]$ iff $r \in \omega^\omega \cap V[G \restriction \alpha]$, some $\alpha < \kappa$. Consider the following poset $Y = \{h : h \subset \text{Coll}(\omega, < \alpha) \text{ generic over } V \text{ for some } \alpha \in \text{rng}(G)\}$ ordered by reverse inclusion. Y is ω -closed by the closure of $\text{rng}(G)$: assume $h_0 > h_1 > \dots > h_i > \dots$, $i < \omega$, is a decreasing sequence of elements in Y , $h_i \subset \text{Coll}(\omega, < \alpha_i)$, some $\alpha_i \in \text{rng}(G)$. Then $\alpha = \sup_{i < \omega} \alpha_i \in \text{rng}(G)$, α is V -inaccessible and $h = \bigcup_{i < \omega} h_i \subset \text{Coll}(\omega, < \alpha)$ is generic over V , since if $A \subset \text{Coll}(\omega, < \alpha)$ is a maximal antichain in V , we have $|A| < \alpha$ (in V) and thus for some $i < \omega$ $A \subset \text{Coll}(\omega, < \alpha_i)$ and A is met by a condition in h_i . For $\alpha < \kappa$ define $D_\alpha = \{h \in Y : G \restriction \alpha \in V[h]\}$. The following subclaim will finish the proof of the Claim 2 since $\kappa = \aleph_1$, Y is ω -closed and any real in $V[G]$ is coded by an initial segment of G .

Subclaim. *Each D_α is dense in Y .*

Now we can finish the proof of the Lemma. Fix $\dot{H}$, a P -name for a generic subset of $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ as in Claim 2. Fix $K \subset \text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ generic over V . We claim that $V[K]$ is a model of the wanted theory with our S . To prove it, choose $G \subset P_S = P$ generic over $V[K]$. By a mutual genericity argument, $H = \dot{H}/G \subset \text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ is generic over $V[K]$. In $V[K]$, however, $\kappa = \aleph_1$ and so $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ is isomorphic to Q_{ω_1} . We view H as a subset of Q_{ω_1} (transferred by some isomorphism of $\text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ and Q_{ω_1} in $V[K]$). The only thing left to check is that $\omega^\omega \cap V[K][G] = \omega^\omega \cap V[K][H]$. For that we use Corollary 3 and the most significant property of H , that $\{G \restriction \alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset V[H]$.

Lemma 7. *If $C \subset \omega_1$ is a club then $P_{S \cap C} \leq P_S$. In fact, $P_S \Vdash$ “if $\dot{D} \subset \omega_1$ is the generic club then $\check{C} \cap \dot{D}$ is $P_{S \cap C}$ -generic club”.*

Proof. By the local genericity criterion in Corollary 4 it is enough to prove the following claim:

Claim 3. *If $\alpha < \omega_1$ is indecomposable, $T \subset S \subset \alpha$, T clunbounded in S and $P_{T,\alpha}, P_{S,\alpha}$ are nonempty posets then $P_{S,\alpha} \Vdash$ “if $\dot{D} \subset \alpha$ is the generic club then $\dot{D} \cap T$ is a $P_{T,\alpha}$ -generic club”.*

Proof of the Claim. We first give two subclaims, then prove the Claim from them and complete the proof of the Lemma by proving the two subclaims.

Subclaim. *If γ is indecomposable, $T \subset S$, where T is clunbounded in S , which is countable and $P_{T,\gamma}, P_{S,\gamma} \neq 0$ then $P_{S,\gamma} \Vdash$ “o.t. $\dot{D} \cap \check{T} = \gamma$ ”, where $\dot{D}$ is the generic club through S .*

Subclaim. *If $I, J \subset \omega_1$ are countable intervals of ordinals, o.t. $J \leq I$ are both indecomposable, $T \subset S$, where T is clunbounded in S , which is countable and $P_{T,J}, P_{S,I} \neq 0$ then for any $t \in P_{T,J}$ there is $s \in P_{S,I}$ such that $s \Vdash$ “ t is a subset of the increasing enumeration of $\check{T} \cap \dot{D}$ starting with $\min(I)$ ”, $\dot{D}$ the generic club.*

Now we can proceed to prove the Claim. For technical reasons we pretend that $\alpha \in S \cap T$ and any $p \in P_{S,\alpha}$ contains $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ (accordingly $\alpha \in \dot{D}$ then). Choose $p_0 \in P_{S,\alpha}$ arbitrary. We find $p < p_0$ and $q \in P_{T,\alpha}$ such that for any $q' \leq q$ there is $p' < p$ such that $p' \Vdash_{P_{S,\alpha}}$ “ $q' \subset$ the enumeration of $\dot{D} \cap T$ ”, proving the Claim. We build $\alpha = \alpha_0 > \alpha_1 > \dots$, $p_0 = p_0 > p_1 > \dots$, $\alpha = \gamma_0 > \gamma_1 > \dots$ so that

- (1) $\alpha_i \in \text{dom}(p_i)$,
- (2) $p_i \Vdash_{P_{S,\alpha}}$ “ $p_i(\alpha_i) \in T$ is the γ_i^{th} element of $\check{T} \cap \dot{D}$ ” where $\dot{D}$ is the generic club $\subset \alpha$,
- (3) $\text{dom}(p_{i+1} \setminus p_i) \subset \alpha_i$,
- (4) o.t. $\alpha_i \setminus \alpha_{i+1}$ is indecomposable,
- (5) o.t. $\gamma_i \setminus \gamma_{i+1}$ is indecomposable,
- (6) γ_i limit implies $\text{dom}(p_{i+1}) \cap (\alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_i) = 0$,
- (7) γ_i successor implies γ_{i+1} is the predecessor of γ_i .

This is easily done and must end at some $n < \omega$ since the α_i 's form a descending sequence of ordinals. Set $q = \{\langle \gamma_i, p_i(\alpha_i) \rangle : i < n\}$ and $p = p_n$. We claim that p, q are what we are looking for. First, $q \in P_{T,\alpha}$. Choose $M \prec H$, countable containing

everything relevant and $p \in g \subset P_{S,\alpha}$ generic over M . Then by elementary absoluteness considerations $T \cap \text{rng}(\bigcup g)$ is a club subset of T of ordertype α such that q is a subset of its enumeration. Second, choose $q' < q$ in $P_{T,\alpha}$. Let us assume for simplicity that $\text{dom}(q' \setminus q) \subset (\gamma_{i+1}, \gamma_i)$ for some i . Then γ_i is limit by (7). Now we use the second subclaim with $I = \alpha_i \setminus \alpha_{i+1}$, $J = \gamma_i \setminus \gamma_{i+1}$, $S \cap (p_{i+1}(\alpha_i), p_i(\alpha_i))$ in the place of S and $T \cap (p_{i+1}(\alpha_i), p_i(\alpha_i))$ in the place of T on $t = q' \setminus q$. The resulting s is easily seen to be such that $P_{S,\alpha} \ni p \cup s = p' \Vdash_{P_{S,\alpha}} "q' \text{ is a subset of the increasing enumeration of } \dot{D} \cap \check{T}"$.

To prove the first subclaim, let $\gamma < \omega_1$ be the least indecomposable such that there are $T \subset S$ violating the statement. We distinguish two cases:

- (1) γ is a limit of indecomposables. Let $P_{S,\gamma} \ni p \Vdash "o.t.\dot{D} \cap \check{T} < \beta < \gamma"$ for some indecomposable $\beta > \max(\text{dom}(p))$. Let $\xi \in T$, $\xi > \max(\text{rng}(p))$ be such that $P_{T \cap \xi, \beta} \neq 0$. By indecomposability of β and γ $p \cup \{\langle \beta, \xi \rangle\} \in P_{S,\gamma}$. By minimality of γ , $P_{S \cap \xi, \beta} \Vdash "o.t.\check{T} \cap \xi \cap D = \beta"$, therefore $p \cup \{\langle \beta, \xi \rangle\} \Vdash "o.t.\check{T} \cap \dot{D} \geq \beta"$, a contradiction.
- (2) $\gamma = \omega\beta$ for some $\beta < \gamma$ indecomposable. Fix $p \in P_{S,\gamma}$. We get $q = p \cup \{\langle \delta, \xi \rangle\} < p$ such that $q \Vdash "o.t.\check{T} \cap \dot{D} \cap (\xi \setminus \max(\text{rng}(p))) = \beta"$. The contradiction then follows by a simple genericity argument. To get our q , we set $\delta = \max(\text{dom}(p)) + \beta$ and choose $\xi \in T$, $\xi > \max(\text{rng}(p))$ such that $P_{T \cap \xi, \beta} \neq 0$. By minimality of γ and indecomposability of γ, β , it follows that q works.

The second subclaim is in fact a corollary to the first one. It is certainly enough to prove it for $J = \gamma \leq \alpha = I$. For simplicity we assume that $t = \langle \beta, \xi \rangle$, $\xi \in T$. Find $\beta = \beta_0 > \beta_1 > \dots > \beta_{m-1} > 0 = \beta_m$ so that $\forall i < m$ *o.t.* $(\beta_i \setminus \beta_{i+1})$ is indecomposable and choose $s \in P_{T,\gamma}$, $\text{dom}(s) = \{\beta_0 \dots \beta_{m-1}\}$, $s(\beta) = \xi$. Then s is a member of $P_{S,\alpha}$ as well and by the first claim it has the required property.

Let us evaluate the factor forcing $P_S/P_{S \cap C}$. For definiteness, assume that $C \subset \omega_1$ is such that $|S \setminus C| = \aleph_1$. Let us fix $H \subset P_{S \cap C}$ V -generic and in $V[H]$, choose a continuous increasing sequence $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ of countable submodels of some H_θ with $C, S, H \in M_0$. Define $\beta_0 = 0$ and for $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ let $\beta_\alpha = M_\alpha \cap \omega_1$. Define a forcing $Q =$ the finite support product of $P_{S \cap I_\alpha}/P_{S \cap C \cap I_\alpha}$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$ where $I_\alpha = [\beta_\alpha, \beta_{\alpha+1})$, the V -generic subset of $P_{S \cap C \cap I_\alpha}$ is just $H \cap P_{S \cap C \cap I_\alpha}$ and the embedding $P_{S \cap C \cap I_\alpha} \leq P_{S \cap I_\alpha}$ is the one described in Claim 3 (modulo an ordinal shift). Then it is not difficult to see that Q is isomorphic to Q_{ω_1} in $V[H]$, since the forcings standing in the finite support product are nontrivial and $\aleph_0$ -dense. One can easily prove that a $V[H]$ -generic $K \subset Q$ together with H gives a V -generic $G \subset P_S$ such that $V[G] = V[H][K]$.

Corollary 5. $P_S = P_S \times Q_{\omega_1}$.

Since $C \subset \omega_1$ is as above, $P_S = P_{S \cap C} * Q_{\omega_1} = P_{S \cap C} \times Q_{\omega_1} = P_{S \cap C} \times Q_{\omega_1} \times Q_{\omega_1} = P_S \times Q_{\omega_1}$.

Corollary 6. If $S = T$ modulo NS_{ω_1} then $P_S = P_T$ (again, as Boolean algebras).

To see this, fix S, T as in the Corollary and choose $C \subset \omega_1$ club such that $|S \setminus C| = |T \setminus C| = \aleph_1$ and $S \cap C = T \cap C$. Then $P_S = P_{S \cap C} \times Q_{\omega_1} = P_{T \cap C} \times Q_{\omega_1} = P_T$ from the remarks preceding Corollary 5.

Corollary 7. *Cons(κ Mahlo) implies Cons(Q_{ω_1} embeds into P_{ω_1} reaping all the reals).*

The proof of the corollary is left to the reader. The model is $V[K][L]$, where $K \subset \text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$ is generic as in Lemma 6 and K is a generic club through $S = \{\alpha < \kappa : \alpha \text{ inaccessible in } V\}$ using *countable* conditions. The key to the proof is to notice that $V[K][L] \models P_{\omega_1} = P_S$ as Boolean algebras; the rest carries over from Lemma 6.

REFERENCES

- [B] J. E. Baumgartner, *Applications of the Proper Forcing Axiom*, in Handbook of set-theoretic topology, K. Kunen, J. E. Vaughan, eds. (1984).
- [BT] J. E. Baumgartner, A. Taylor, *Saturated ideals in generic extensions*, Trans. AMS **270** (1982), 557–574.
- [FMS] M. Foreman, M. Magidor, S. Shelah, *Martin’s maximum, saturated ideals and non-regular ultrafilters. Part I*, Ann. of Math. **127** (1988), 1–47.
- [S] S. Shelah, *Proper forcing*, Lecture Notes in Math. 640, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [W] W. H. Woodin, *Supercompact cardinals, sets of reals and weakly homogeneous trees*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **85** (1990), 6587–6581.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK,
PA 16802

E-mail address: zapletal@math.psu.edu